

# Treasury Management activity and treasury and prudential indicators 2017-18

## 1. Introduction

- 1.1 The treasury management service is an important part of the overall financial management of the council. Whilst the prudential indicators consider the affordability and impact of capital expenditure decisions, the treasury service covers the effective funding of these decisions.
- 1.2 Strict regulations, such as statutory requirements and the CIPFA treasury management code of practice (the TM Code) govern the council's treasury activities, and the Prudential Code and MHCLG Investment Guidance non-treasury investments.
- 1.3 The Council holds a substantial amount of Investment property (non-treasury investment) and has a large capital programme which directly impacts on the treasury management decisions the Council may make.

## 2. Treasury management activity

- 2.1 The council has an integrated treasury management strategy and manages its cash as a whole in accordance with its approved strategy. Therefore, overall borrowing may arise because of all the financial transactions of the council (for example, borrowing for cash flow purposes) and not just those arising from capital expenditure reflected in the Capital Financing Requirement (CFR).

### Investments

- 2.2 The Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG) Investment Guidance requires local authorities to focus on security and liquidity rather than yield.
- 2.3 Both the CIPFA Code and government guidance requires local authorities to invest funds prudently, and to have regard to the security and liquidity of investments before seeking the highest rate of return, or yield. The main objective, therefore, when investing money is to strike an appropriate balance between risk and return, minimising the risk of incurring losses from defaults and the risk of receiving unsuitable low investment income.
- 2.4 Security of capital remains our main objective when placing investments. We maintained this during the year by following our investment policy, as approved in our treasury management strategy 2017-18, which defined "high credit quality" counterparties as those having a long-term credit rating of A- or higher.
- 2.5 Investments during the year included:
  - investments in AAA rated constant net asset money market funds

- call accounts and deposits with banks and building societies systemically important to each country's banking system. We did place some investments with overseas banks
- other local authorities
- corporate bonds
- non-rated building societies
- covered bonds
- pooled funds without a credit rating, but only those subject to an external assessment by Arlingclose

2.6 We divided our investments into three types

- short-term (less than one-year) internally managed cash investments
- long-term internally managed investments
- externally managed funds

2.7 Cash balances consisted of working cash balances, capital receipts, and council reserves.

2.8 The table below shows our investment portfolio, at 31 March 2018, compared to 31 March 2017. **Appendix 2** contains a detail schedule of investments outstanding at the end of the year.

| Investment details                            | Balance at 31-03-17<br>£m | Weighted Avg Return for Year | Balance at 31-03-18<br>£m | Weighted Avg Return for Year |
|---|---------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| <b>Internally Managed Investments</b>         |                           |                              |                           |                              |
| Fixed Investments < 1 year to cover cash flow | 34.00                     | 0.76%                        | 29.00                     | 0.71%                        |
| Corporate bonds                               | 4.06                      | 0.54%                        | 2.00                      | 0.59%                        |
| Certificates of deposit                       | 2.00                      | 0.56%                        | 3.00                      | 0.59%                        |
| Notice Accounts                               | 13.00                     | 0.49%                        | 11.00                     | 0.56%                        |
| Call Accounts                                 | 0.48                      | 0.33%                        | 0.44                      | 0.21%                        |
| Money Market Funds                            | 1.32                      | 0.28%                        | 8.32                      | 0.31%                        |
| Revolving credit facility                     | 2.50                      | 2.25%                        | 2.50                      | 2.25%                        |
| Long term investments > 1 year                | 47.00                     | 1.15%                        | 57.13                     | 1.12%                        |
| <b>Externally Managed Funds</b>               |                           |                              |                           |                              |
| Payden & Rygel                                | 5.03                      | 0.75%                        | 5.01                      | 0.69%                        |
| Funding circle                                | 0.87                      | 4.92%                        | 0.49                      | 7.54%                        |
| CCLA  | 6.35                      | 6.10%                        | 6.65                      | 4.83%                        |
| SWIP  | 1.85                      | 0.59%                        | 0.00                      | 1.21%                        |
| M&G   | 2.67                      | 3.57%                        | 2.57                      | 2.86%                        |
| Schroders                                     | 0.91                      | 7.22%                        | 0.88                      | 7.38%                        |
| UBS   | 2.42                      | 3.73%                        | 2.34                      | 3.92%                        |
| City Financials                               | 2.47                      | 2.73%                        | 2.30                      | 3.26%                        |
| <b>Total Investments</b>                      | <b>126.92</b>             | <b>1.03%</b>                 | <b>133.64</b>             | <b>1.23%</b>                 |

2.9 Our level of investments increased during 2017-18, and we achieved a higher return than last year.

2.10 The Councils also holds £1.803 million equity investments in Guildford Holdings Ltd and £2.698 million million in North Downs Housing Ltd.

2.11 We are earning an interest return of base rate plus 5% (currently 5.5%) on the investment in North Downs Housing. This is higher than the return earned on

treasury investments, but reflects the additional risks to the Council of holding the investment.

### **Security of investments**

- 2.12 Counterparty credit quality was assessed and monitored with reference to credit ratings; financial institutions analysis of funding structure and susceptibility to bail-in, credit default swap prices; financial statements; information on potential government support and reports in the quality financial press.
- 2.13 We also considered the use of secured investment products that provide collateral in the event that the counterparty cannot meet its obligations for repayment.
- 2.14 The minimum long-term counterparty credit rating for 'high quality counterparties' approved for 2017-18 was A-/A3 across all three main credit rating agencies (Fitch, S&P, and Moody's).
- 2.15 The overall minimum long-term credit rating in the treasury strategy is BBB+. The strategy set different limits for different counterparty credit ratings both in maximum duration and exposure in monetary terms.

### **Liquidity of investments**

- 2.16 In keeping with the MHCLG's Guidance on Investments, the council maintained a sufficient level of liquidity using money market funds, call accounts, the maturity profile of fixed investments and certificates of deposits and short-term borrowing from other local authorities.
- 2.17 We use treasury.net as our daily cash flow forecasting software to determine the maximum period for which funds may prudently be committed.

### **Yield of investments**

- 2.18 The council sought to optimise returns commensurate with its objective of security and liquidity. The Bank of England base rate increased from 0.25% to 0.50% in November 2017 during the year. Short-term money market rates also remained at very low levels, which continued to have an impact on investment returns.
- 2.19 We invested in longer-term covered bonds, which increased the return of the portfolio and the duration. Covered bonds have a secondary market and can be sold should we need the liquidity.
- 2.20 The council's budgeted investment income for the year was £1.473 million and actual interest was £1.853 million.

### **Externally managed funds**

- 2.21 We estimate to have substantial cash balances over the medium-term (our "core" cash as identified in the Councils liability benchmark), and as such we have continued investing in pooled (cash-plus, bond, equity, multi-asset and property) funds. These funds, have allowed us to diversify into asset classes other than cash without the need to own and manage the underlying investments. These funds operate on a variable net asset value (VNAV) basis offer diversification of investment risk, coupled with the services of a professional fund manager; they also offer

enhanced returns over the longer term but are more volatile in the short term. All of our pooled funds are in the respective funds distributing share class, which pay out the income generated. They have no defined maturity date, but are available for withdrawal, some with a notice period.

- 2.22 We regularly monitor all our external funds' performance and continued suitability in meeting our investment objectives.

### Borrowing and debt management

- 2.23 The council's debt portfolio is detailed in the table below. Our loan portfolio increased by £8.27 million due to more short term loans at the end of the year.

| Interest calc     | Lender                              | Loan type | Principal<br>£'000 | Initial<br>loan<br>period<br>(yrs) | Period<br>remaining<br>years | Maturity<br>date | Rate  |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|-------|
| <b>Long-term</b>  |                                     |           |                    |                                    |                              |                  |       |
| Fixed             | PWLB                                | EIP       | 690                | 10                                 | 3.0                          | 31/03/2021       | 3.60% |
| Variable          | PWLB                                | Maturity  | 45,000             | 10                                 | 4.0                          | 28/03/2022       | 0.66% |
| Fixed             | PWLB                                | Maturity  | 10,000             | 12                                 | 6.0                          | 28/03/2024       | 2.70% |
| Fixed             | PWLB                                | Maturity  | 10,000             | 13                                 | 7.0                          | 28/03/2025       | 2.82% |
| Fixed             | PWLB                                | Maturity  | 10,000             | 14                                 | 8.0                          | 28/03/2026       | 2.92% |
| Fixed             | PWLB                                | Maturity  | 10,000             | 15                                 | 9.0                          | 28/03/2027       | 3.01% |
| Fixed             | PWLB                                | Maturity  | 25,000             | 17                                 | 11.0                         | 28/03/2029       | 3.15% |
| Fixed             | PWLB                                | Maturity  | 25,000             | 20                                 | 14.0                         | 28/03/2032       | 3.30% |
| Fixed             | PWLB                                | Maturity  | 25,000             | 25                                 | 19.0                         | 28/03/2037       | 3.44% |
| Fixed             | PWLB                                | Maturity  | 15,000             | 29                                 | 23.0                         | 28/03/2041       | 3.49% |
| Fixed             | PWLB                                | Maturity  | 17,435             | 30                                 | 24.0                         | 28/03/2042       | 3.50% |
| Fixed             | Slough BC                           | Maturity  | 5,000              | 3                                  | 0.0                          | 02/04/2018       | 1.20% |
| <b>Short-term</b> |                                     |           |                    |                                    |                              |                  |       |
| Fixed             | Tendring DC                         | Maturity  | 2,000              | 0.75                               | 0.0                          | 03/04/2018       | 0.45% |
| Fixed             | South Lakeland DC                   | Maturity  | 2,000              | 0.68                               | 0.0                          | 04/04/2018       | 0.43% |
| Fixed             | Northumberland County Council       | Maturity  | 10,000             | 0.25                               | 0.0                          | 09/04/2018       | 0.45% |
| Fixed             | Leicester City Council              | Maturity  | 5,000              | 0.08                               | 0.1                          | 19/04/2018       | 0.85% |
| Fixed             | PCC West Yorkshire                  | Maturity  | 5,000              | 0.82                               | 0.1                          | 04/05/2018       | 0.48% |
| Fixed             | Hyndburn                            | Maturity  | 1,000              | 1.00                               | 0.2                          | 25/05/2018       | 0.45% |
| Fixed             | Tendring DC                         | Maturity  | 2,000              | 1.00                               | 0.3                          | 02/07/2018       | 0.52% |
| Fixed             | Chichester DC                       | Maturity  | 1,500              | 0.50                               | 0.3                          | 16/07/2018       | 0.52% |
| Fixed             | Lancaster City Council              | Maturity  | 5,000              | 1.00                               | 0.3                          | 17/07/2018       | 0.53% |
| Fixed             | Barnsley, Doncaster & Sheffield Com | Maturity  | 5,000              | 1.00                               | 0.3                          | 26/07/2018       | 0.40% |
| Fixed             | Exeter CC                           | Maturity  | 5,000              | 1.00                               | 0.7                          | 27/11/2018       | 0.55% |
| <b>Total</b>      |                                     |           | <b>241,625</b>     |                                    |                              |                  |       |

- 2.24 Our primary objective when borrowing has been to strike an appropriately low risk balance between securing low interest costs and achieving cost certainty over the period for which funds are required, with flexibility to renegotiate loans should our long-term plans change being a secondary objective.
- 2.25 The rate on the variable rate loan is the average for the year.
- 2.26 We also have short-term loans outstanding at the end of the year which we took out for cash flow purposes, from other local authorities. Temporary and short-dated loans borrowed during the year from other local authorities remained affordable and attractive.
- 2.27 Affordability and the "cost of carry" remained important influences on our long-term borrowing strategy alongside the consideration that, for any borrowing undertaken

ahead of need, the proceeds would be invested at rates of interest significantly lower than the cost of borrowing. As short-term interest rates have remained low, and are likely to remain low at least over the forthcoming two years, lower than long-term rates, the council determined it was more cost effective in the short-term to use internal resources and borrow short-term to medium-term instead.

- 2.28 The Councils borrowing position is monitored regularly as to whether it is more beneficial to externalise borrowing now or whether to continue internal borrowing based on predicted future borrowing costs (which are likely to be higher). Arlingclose assist us with this 'cost of carry' and break even analysis.
- 2.29 The PWLB continued to operate a spread of approximately 1% between "premature repayment rate" and "new loan" rates so the premium charge for early repayment of PWLB debt remained relatively expensive for the loans in our portfolio and therefore unattractive for debt rescheduling activity. No rescheduling activity was undertaken as a consequence.

### **3. Treasury and prudential indicators**

- 3.1 The Local Government Act 2003 requires local authorities to have regard to the CIPFA Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities (the Prudential Code) when determining how much money it can afford to borrow. The objectives of the Prudential Code are to ensure, within a clear framework, that the capital investment plans of local authorities are affordable, prudent and sustainable, and that treasury decisions are taken in accordance with good professional practice. To demonstrate the Council has fulfilled these objectives, the Prudential Code sets various indicators that must be set and monitored each year.
- 3.2 The CFO confirms that we have complied with our prudential indicators for 2017-18, which were approved in February 2017 as part of the treasury management strategy statement. The CFO also confirms that we have complied with our treasury management policy statement and treasury management practices during 2017-18.

#### **Balance sheet and treasury position prudential indicator**

- 3.3 The capital financing requirement (CFR) measures the council's underlying need to borrow for a capital purpose. Over the medium-term, borrowing must be only for a capital purpose, although in the short-term, we can borrow for cash flow purposes, which do not affect the CFR.
- 3.4 The council's CFR for 2017-18 is shown in the following table

| <b>Capital Financing Requirement</b>                            | <b>2017-18<br/>Approved<br/>Estimate<br/>£000</b> | <b>2017-18<br/>Revised<br/>Estimate<br/>£000</b> | <b>2017-18<br/>Actual<br/>£000</b> |
|---|---|--|------------------------------------|
| <b>HRA</b>  |   |  |                                    |
| Opening balance (01 Apr 17)                                     | 197,024   | 197,024  | 196,664                            |
| Movement in year: Appropriations                                | 0   | 0  | 1,000                              |
| Movement in year: VRP   | 0   | 0  | (640)                              |
| <b>Closing balance (31 Mar 18)</b>                              | <b>197,024</b>                                    | <b>197,024</b>                                   | <b>197,024</b>                     |
|   |   |  |                                    |
| <b>General Fund</b>   |   |  |                                    |
| Opening balance (01 Apr 17)                                     | 84,160  | 70,182   | 70,182                             |
| Movement in year: Appropriations                                | 0   | 0  | (1,000)                            |
| Movement in year: Unfinanced cap exp                            | 88,656  | 24,192   | 7,172                              |
| Movement in year: MRP   | (1,229)   | (573)  | (574)                              |
| <b>Closing balance (31 Mar 18)</b>                              | <b>171,587</b>                                    | <b>93,801</b>                                    | <b>75,780</b>                      |
|   |   |  |                                    |
| <b>Total</b>  |   |  |                                    |
| Opening balance (01 Apr 17)                                     | 281,184   | 267,206  | 266,846                            |
| Movement in year: Appropriations                                | 0   | 0  | 0                                  |
| Movement in year: Unfinanced cap exp                            | 88,656  | 24,192   | 7,172                              |
| Movement in year: MRP   | (1,229)   | (573)  | (574)                              |
| Movement in year: VRP   | 0   | 0  | (640)                              |
| <b>Closing balance (31 Mar 18)</b>                              | <b>368,611</b>                                    | <b>290,825</b>                                   | <b>272,804</b>                     |
|   |   |  |                                    |
| Balances and Reserves   | (115,482)   | (115,482)  | (156,151)                          |
| <b>Cumulative net borrowing requirement<br/>/ (investments)</b> | <b>253,129</b>                                    | <b>175,343</b>                                   | <b>116,653</b>                     |

- 3.5 The GF unfinanced capital expenditure mainly relates to Spectrum roof, SARP and Guildford park car park. This is much lower than budgeted because of the slippage in the capital programme – we projected this slippage during the year, which is shown by the revised estimate (as in the strategy report presented to Council in February 2018).
- 3.6 We budgeted an underlying need to borrow of £87.7 million for 2017-18, and our actual underlying need to borrow was £7.17 million because of slippage in the capital programme.

#### **Gross debt and the CFR**

- 3.7 We monitor the CFR to gross debt continuously to ensure that, over the medium term, borrowing is only for a capital purpose and does not exceed the CFR. This is a key indicator of prudence. We will report any deviations to the CFO for investigation and appropriate action. The following table shows the council is in a net internal borrowing position and gross debt does not exceed the CFR over the period.

| <b>Gross Debt and the CFR</b>                           | <b>2017-18<br/>Actual<br/>£000</b> |
|---|------------------------------------|
| General Fund CFR  | 75,780                             |
| HRA CFR   | 197,024                            |
| <b>Total CFR (at 31 March)</b>                          | <b>272,804</b>                     |
| Gross External Borrowing                                | (241,625)                          |
| <b>Net (external) / internal borrowing<br/>position</b> | <b>31,179</b>                      |

- 3.8 Actual debt levels are monitored against the operational boundary and authorised limit for external debt, detailed in paragraph [3.27 to 3.32](#).
- 3.9 We are showing as being internally borrowed up to £75.78 million in at the end of March 2018, against an estimate of £171.2 million – lower because of slippage in the capital programme.

### Capital expenditure prudential indicator

- 3.10 This indicator is set to ensure that the level of proposed capital expenditure remains within sustainable limits, and, in particular, to consider the impact on council tax or housing rent levels for the HRA.
- 3.11 The following table shows capital expenditure in the year, compared to the original estimate approved by the Executive in January 2018.

| <b>Projects</b>                  | <b>Original<br/>Estimate<br/>(£'000)</b> | <b>Actual<br/>(£'000)</b> | <b>Variance<br/>(£'000)</b> |
|----------------------------------|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| <u>Housing Revenue Account</u>   |  |                           |                             |
| HRA Capital Programme            | 12,900                                   | 8,264                     | (4,636)                     |
| <b>Total Housing</b>             | <b>12,900</b>                            | <b>8,264</b>              | <b>(4,636)</b>              |
| <u>General Fund</u>              |  |                           |                             |
| Chapel Street                    | 835                                      | 113                       | (722)                       |
| Spectrum roof & CHP              | 4,289                                    | 2,183                     | (2,106)                     |
| Guildford park car park          | 4,500                                    | 1,229                     | (3,271)                     |
| Clay lane link road              | 0  | 371                       | 371                         |
| SARP                             | 0  | 1,035                     | 1,035                       |
| Walnut bridge                    | 1,884                                    | 415                       | (1,469)                     |
| Bedford Wharf                    | 14,176                                   | 0                         | (14,176)                    |
| Town centre gateway regeneration | 3,523                                    | 11                        | (3,512)                     |
| Rebuild crematorium              | 3,410                                    | 402                       | (3,008)                     |
| Provisional schemes              | 49,695                                   | 19                        | (49,676)                    |
| Housing company loan             | 5,500                                    | 2,101                     | (3,399)                     |
| Other General Fund Projects      | 12,187                                   | 6,060                     | (6,127)                     |
| <b>Total General Fund</b>        | <b>99,999</b>                            | <b>13,939</b>             | <b>(86,060)</b>             |
| <b>Total Capital Programme</b>   | <b>112,899</b>                           | <b>22,203</b>             | <b>(90,696)</b>             |

- 3.12 The table shows that there was a lot of slippage in the capital programme. This was mainly over a few larger schemes including:

- provisional schemes were re-profiled during the year, and include:
  - new burial grounds
  - clay lane link road

- Guildford park car park
- North street development

3.13 The following table shows the financing of capital expenditure in the year, compared with the original approved estimate.

| <b>CAPITAL EXPENDITURE - SUMMARY</b>                 | <b>Original Estimate (£'000)</b> | <b>Actual (£'000)</b> |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| <b>General Fund Capital Expenditure</b>              |                                  |                       |
| - Main programme                                     | 91,046                           | 9,900                 |
| - Reserve & s106 Capital Schemes                     | 8,213                            | 3,394                 |
| - General Fund Housing                               | 740                              | 645                   |
| <b>HRA Capital expenditure</b>                       |                                  |                       |
| - Main programme                                     | 18,080                           | 8,264                 |
| <b>Total Capital Expenditure</b>                     | <b>118,079</b>                   | <b>22,203</b>         |
| <b>CAPITAL EXPENDITURE - SUMMARY</b>                 | <b>Original Estimate (£'000)</b> | <b>Actual (£'000)</b> |
| <b>General Fund Capital Expenditure Financed by:</b> |                                  |                       |
| - Borrowing/Use of Balances                          | (87,714)                         | (7,172)               |
| - Capital Receipts                                   | (330)                            | (2,597)               |
| - Capital Grants/Contributions                       | (3,982)                          | (1,966)               |
| - Capital Reserves/Revenue                           | (7,973)                          | (2,204)               |
| <b>HRA Capital Expenditure Financed by:</b>          |                                  |                       |
| - Capital Receipts                                   | (4,692)                          | (4,330)               |
| - Capital Reserves/Revenue                           | (13,388)                         | (3,934)               |
| <b>Financing - Totals</b>                            | <b>(118,079)</b>                 | <b>(22,203)</b>       |

3.14 GF borrowing was less than budgeted because of slippage in the capital programme, and an increase in the opening of available capital resources which reduced the need for internal borrowing in the year.

#### **Incremental impact of capital investment decisions prudential indicator**

3.15 This is an indicator of affordability. It shows the effect on the revenue budget arising from the capital programme, excluding financing costs. The calculation is the loss of interest on funds used for the capital programme (using the average investment rate), plus any ongoing revenue implications of the schemes and MRP.

3.16 Capital investment decisions do not affect the weekly housing rent charge as the council sets its rents in line with the policy laid down by the CLG. There is also no variation to council tax once it has been set. We calculate this prudential indicator on an actual basis for comparative purposes.

|  | <b>2017-18 Approved £</b> | <b>2017-18 Outturn £</b> |
|--|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Cost of Capital Programme on Council Tax - Band D      | 12.74                     | 2.96                     |
| Cost of Housing Capital Programme Weekly Housing Rents | 1.03                      | 0.19                     |

3.17 The impact for both the GF and HRA is lower than approved because of slippage in the capital programme, detailed above.



### **Ratio of financing costs to the net revenue stream prudential indicator**

- 3.18 This is an indicator of affordability and highlights the revenue impact of capital expenditure by identifying the proportion of the revenue budget required to meet the financing costs associated with capital spending. Financing costs include interest on borrowing, MRP, premium or discount on loans repaid early, investment income and depreciation where it is a real charge.
- 3.19 Depreciation is not a real charge to the GF, but has been to the HRA since April 2012.
- 3.20 The ratio is based on costs net of investment income.
- 3.21 The net revenue stream for the GF is the total budget requirement and for the HRA is total income. Where the figure is negative, it is because there is a net investment position (more investments than debt). The total budget requirement for the GF used is the 2017-18 budget.

|              | <b>2017-18<br/>Original<br/>Estimate</b> | <b>2017-18<br/>Actual</b> |
|--------------|--|---------------------------|
| General Fund | 11.06%                                   | -4.67%                    |
| HRA          | 31.04%                                   | 33.95%                    |

- 3.22 The figure for the GF is negative because interest received is higher than financing costs (interest payable, debt management costs and MRP). The budget assumed a large amount of external borrowing for the capital programme which was not required and was reported throughout the year as part of budget monitoring.
- 3.23 The HRA is higher because there was a voluntary revenue provision to cover the cost of the land appropriation at Guildford Park car park.

### **The authorised limit prudential indicator**

- 3.24 The Local Government Act 2003 requires the council to set an affordable borrowing limit, irrespective of the indebted status. This is a statutory limit, which we cannot breach.
- 3.25 The limit is the maximum amount of external debt we can legally owe at any one time. It is expressed gross of investments and includes capital expenditure plans, the CFR and cash flow expenditure. It also provides headroom over and above for unexpected cash movements.
- 3.26 The limit was set at £525.84 million for the year and the highest level of debt was £250.3 million.
- 3.27 We measure the levels of debt on an ongoing basis during the year for compliance. The CFO confirms there were no breaches to the authorised limit in 2017-18.

### **The operational boundary prudential indicator**

- 3.28 The operational boundary, based on the same estimates as the authorised limit, reflects the most likely, prudent but not worst case scenario. It does not allow for additional headroom included in the authorised limit.

- 3.29 The limit was set at £475.6 million for the year and the highest level of debt was £250.3 million.

#### Upper limit for fixed and variable interest rate exposures treasury indicator

- 3.30 This indicator is set to control exposure to interest rate risk. We calculate exposures on a net basis (fixed rate debt net of fixed rate investments). We take fixed rate to be if it was taken out as a fixed rate loan/investment regardless of its duration.

| Net Debt / (Investments) on Principal outstanding | 2017-18  | 2017-18  |
|---|----------|----------|
|   | Approved | Actual   |
|   | £000     | £000     |
| Limits on fixed interest rates                    | 267,120  | 134,251  |
| Limits on variable interest rates                 | 1,320    | (36,032) |

- 3.31 The above shows that at its peak fixed interest rates were well within our target. Variable was higher than target, and is negative because we had more variable rate investments than debt. We include our external funds as variable rate investments.

#### Maturity structure of fixed rate borrowing treasury indicator

- 3.32 The aim of this indicator is to control our exposure to refinancing risk (large concentrations of fixed rate debt needing refinancing at once). We calculate this as the amount of fixed rate borrowing maturing in each period as a percentage of fixed rate borrowing.

|                 | Upper Limit | Lower Limit | Actual at 31 March 2017 | Value of loans maturing |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Under 12 months | 15%         | 0%          | 24.78%                  | 48,730,000              |
| 1-2 years       | 20%         | 0%          | 0.12%                   | 230,000                 |
| 3 to 5 years    | 25%         | 0%          | 0.12%                   | 230,000                 |
| 6 to 10 years   | 50%         | 0%          | 20.34%                  | 40,000,000              |
| 11-15 years     | 100%        | 0%          | 25.43%                  | 50,000,000              |
| 16-20 years     | 100%        | 0%          | 12.71%                  | 25,000,000              |
| 21-25 years     | 100%        | 0%          | 16.50%                  | 32,435,000              |
| Over 26 years   | 100%        | 0%          | 0.00%                   | 0                       |

- 3.33 The above table shows the amount of debt maturing in each period and its percentage of total fixed rate loans. The targets were set to give us flexibility for drawing down new loans on a fixed or variable rate basis. If a lower upper limit for fixed rate debt were set, the council would be giving itself a greater exposure to interest rate changes by having more variable rate debt. The upper limit for under 12 months was set to cover any short-term borrowing for cash flow purposes and for allowing for the principal loan repayments falling in that period.
- 3.34 The limit for that maturing within 12 months is higher due to short-term borrowing levels. 45% of our fixed rate debt matures within the next 10 years, with around half of that being in years 6-10. This gives the council stability in its interest payments over that time, and time to consider refinancing options. The first fixed rate loan matures in 2024.

- 3.35 The actual at March 2018 was higher than target because the upper limit did not allow for as much short-term borrowing as we had at the end of the year.

#### **Actual external debt treasury indicator**

- 3.36 This indicator comes directly from our balance sheet. It is the closing balance for actual gross borrowing (short and long term) plus other deferred liabilities. It is measured in a manner consistent for comparison with the authorised limit and operational boundary.

|                             | <b>External debt as at 31 Mar 17<br/>£'000</b> | <b>External debt as at 31 Mar 18<br/>£'000</b> |
|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Borrowing                   | 233,355  | 241,625  |
| Other long term liabilities | 0  | 0  |
| <b>Total</b>                | <b>233,355</b>                                 | <b>241,625</b>                                 |

- 3.37 Actual external debt decreased because we had less short-term borrowing that at the start of the year.

#### **HRA limit of indebtedness prudential indicator**

- 3.38 This indicator compares the actual debt of the HRA to the debt cap imposed by the Government.

|                   | <b>2017-18<br/>Actual<br/>£'000</b> | <b>2018-19<br/>Estimate<br/>£'000</b> | <b>2019-20<br/>Estimate<br/>£'000</b> | <b>2021-22<br/>Estimate<br/>£'000</b> |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| HRA CFR           | 197,024                             | 197,024                               | 197,024                               | 197,024                               |
| HRA Debt Cap      | 197,024                             | 197,024                               | 197,024                               | 197,024                               |
| Balance available | <b>0</b>                            | <b>0</b>                              | <b>0</b>                              | <b>0</b>                              |

- 3.39 The table shows that the council operated inside the debt cap for 2017-18. We need to review our HRA CFR continually to ensure that, should the need to start increasing the CFR arise, there are balances and reserves to fund the capital expenditure to ensure the debt cap is not breached. The debt cap could stop the council building homes, if we do not have enough reserves to fund our building programme.

#### **Upper limit for total principal sums invested over 364 days**

- 3.40 The purpose of this limit is to contain exposure to the possibility of loss that may arise as a result of the council having to seek early repayment of the sums invested.
- 3.41 Our limit was set at £70 million, we ended the year with exposure of £34 million.
- 3.42 As mentioned earlier in the report, many of our long-term investments are covered bonds, which can be sold on the secondary market. There could be a price differential if they were sold, but it is unlikely to be material.

